

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/533,218	06/29/2005	Franz Bencsits	3075-006	9485
33432 KILYK & BO	7590 03/10/2010 EXAMINER & BOWERSOX, P.L.L.C.		INER	
400 HOLIDA			PURDY, KYLE A	
SUITE 102 WARRENTO	N VA 20186		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	.,		1611	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/10/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/533,218	BENCSITS, FRANZ
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kyle Purdy	1611

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

1. \( \times \) The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:

a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.

b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70(d).

#### NOTICE OF APPEAL

The Notice of Appeal was filed on \_\_\_\_\_ A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

### AMENDMENTS

3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because
<ul><li>(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li></ul>
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for
appeal; and/or
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: \_\_\_\_\_. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).

The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324)
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): \_\_\_\_\_\_.

a. I Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): \_\_\_\_\_\_.
 b. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).

For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☐ will not be entered, or b) ☒ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) objected to:

Claim(s) rejected: 1.3 and 4.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 2 and 5-32.

### AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.14(e).

9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

## REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. \(\overline{\text{\tinitett{\texi{\text{\text{\text{\text{\texi}\tint{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\texit{\text{\ti

12. Note the attached Information *Disclosure Statement(s)*. (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_\_13. Dther:

/Kyle Purdy/

Examiner, Art Unit 1611

/David J Blanchard/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1643 Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The rejection of claims 1, 3 and 4 made by the examiner under 35 USC 102(b) over Behan (WO 00/19822) is MAINTAINED for the reasons of record in the office action mailed on 12/01/2009.

In regards to the 102(b) rejection, Applicant asserts the following:

A) Citral diethyl acetal does not anticipate the instant claims and that if the Exmainer believes the claims are unclear, the the Examiner should issue a 112 rejection.

In resposne to A, the Examiner maintains his position that Behan still anticipates the current claims. It appears that Applicant believes that the terpene is to be acylated with another terpene. However, this is not clear to the Examiner as the claim is written. This lack of clarity does not warrant a 112 rejection, but rather a broad interpretation of the claim as written. It's noted that the rejection could easily be overcome by amending the claim so as to have it recite clearly what Applicant intends to claim, which would appear to exclude the compound of the art.